Orthogonality-Sabotaging Attacks against OFDMA-based Wireless Networks

Shangqing Zhao, Zhuo Lu, Zhengping Luo, Yao Liu

University of South Florida





- Background
- Attack Strategy and Evaluation
 - Motivation of orthogonality sabotaging
 - Experimental Evaluation
- Identification and Detection
- Conclusion



JAMMING ATTACKS





• Jamming attacks

--- broadcast nature of wireless signals





• Traditional: jammer cannot disrupt signals beyond its covered bandwidth





• Traditional: jammer a not disrupt signals beyond its covered bandwidth

NOT always hold in *OFDM(A)* systems !!





• OFDMA

--- spectrum is split into multiple orthogonal subcarriers

--- assigns a part of subcarriers to each user





• OFDMA Receiver (at the AP)



Frequency-domain signals are on all subcarriers are orthogonal to each other



Orthogonality-Sabotaging Attacks

- Key idea:
 - Use a narrowband jamming signal to disrupt the broadband
 OFDMA based system
- Methodology:
 - Intentionally transmits a jamming signal with unaligned central frequency to other subcarriers, to break the orthogonality.
- Two goals:
 - Understand its impact
 - Detect and localize the attack



• Attack with *no frequency offset*



frequency





frequency

Frequency offset Interference



- Strategies
 - Exact subcarrier jamming and offset
 - Continuous-subcarrier attack same offset
 - Scattered subcarrier attack is different offsets





• Experimental setup

- --- USRP X300s with CBX daughterboards
- --- 8 USRPs are users, 1 USRP is AP, and 1 USRP is attacker
- ---- Use Linksys EA8500 as the commercial AP (802.11ac)

• Parameters setting (802.11ax)

- --- 245 subcarriers
- --- attacker user 18 subcarriers
- --- each user occupies 26 subcarriers





Indoor environment



- Metrics
 - Bit error rate (BER)
 - Packet drop rate
 - Normalized throughput



Varying frequency offset



BER reaches the maximum at |0.5| bandwidth of subcarrier



Varying modulation scheme



Attack can disrupt the signal with up to a bandwidth 500% broader than its own bandwidth



• Impact on users



Attack can affect up to 5 users using a single user's bandwidth



Impact on commercial AP (Linksys EA8500)



Orthogonality-Sabotaging Attacks are more efficient



How to identify and localize such attacks ?





• Spectrum analysis





• Spectrum analysis

frequency-selective fading channel



Hard to say which one is from attacks or random fading.



• virtual subcarriers

--- serves as the guard zones to protect interferences between users



--- carry no information with 0 power, so ...

A positive measurement of power can be only due to noise or jamming interference.



• Given measurements on virtual subcarriers, we can do ...

Localization

Find the locations of subcarriers where attacker occupy

Identification

Identify the attack is:

- Broadband jamming
- Orthogonality sabotaging attack
- Exact subcarrier jamming



• Localization





• Localization





Identification

- Broadband-like jamming
- Orthogonality sabotaging attack
- Exact subcarrier jamming





Localization error



Localization error is as low as 0.1–0.45 subcarrier spacing.



Identification accuracy

	Orth Sab	Broad like	Exact - sub.
Iden. as Orth Sab	92.99%	2.4%	0.2%
Iden. as Broad like	2.62%	98.6%	0.0%
lden. as Exact - sub.	4.39%	0.0%	99.8%

The overall accuracy is no less than 92% under different attacks



- Orthogonality-Sabotaging attacks are very efficient.
 - is orthogonal to recent smart jamming strategies (e.g., jamming preambles)
- The localization and identification methods achieve a high accuracy.





Thank you !