How Can Botnets Cause Storms? Understanding the Evolution and Impact of Mobile Botnets

Zhuo Lu $^{\dagger},$ Wenye Wang $^{\dagger},$ and Cliff Wang ‡

[†] Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering North Carolina State University, Raleigh NC, US.

> [‡] Army Research Office Research Triangle Park NC, US.

> > Apr., 2014

1/25

Outline



- Mobile Applications, Malware and Botnets
- Research Issues and Our Focus

2 Preliminaries

- Network and Attack Models
- Problem Formulation

3 Results

- Botnet Propagation
- Mobile Botnet Impact

4 Conclusion

Outline



Mobile Applications, Malware and Botnets

Research Issues and Our Focus

2 Preliminaries





Smart Phone, Mobile Malware, and Mobile Botnet

Smart Phones

- Powerful hardware, mobile operating systems, mobile APPs.
- Mobile malware has come into practice.

Smart Phone, Mobile Malware, and Mobile Botnet

Smart Phones

- Powerful hardware, mobile operating systems, mobile APPs.
- Mobile malware has come into practice.

The Threat of Mobile Botnets

Mobile botnet: A collection of malware infected nodes able to perform coordinated attacks.

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

4 / 25

- Ikee.B in 2009
- Android.Bmaster in 2011.

Smart Phone, Mobile Malware, and Mobile Botnet

Smart Phones

- Powerful hardware, mobile operating systems, mobile APPs.
- Mobile malware has come into practice.

The Threat of Mobile Botnets

Mobile botnet: A collection of malware infected nodes able to perform coordinated attacks.

- Ikee.B in 2009
- Android.Bmaster in 2011.
- [Traynor '09]: a botnet with sufficiently many infected phones is able to disrupt regional cellular services.

The ways that a botnet propagates in mobile networks

- Centralized propagation: SMS/MMS, APPs in the market.
 - Becoming harder and harder.

The ways that a botnet propagates in mobile networks

- Centralized propagation: SMS/MMS, APPs in the market.
 - Becoming harder and harder.
- Mobile-to-mobile/Proximity infection: More stealthy!

The ways that a botnet propagates in mobile networks

- Centralized propagation: SMS/MMS, APPs in the market.
 - Becoming harder and harder.
- Mobile-to-mobile/Proximity infection: More stealthy!



The ways that a botnet propagates in mobile networks

- Centralized propagation: SMS/MMS, APPs in the market.
 - Becoming harder and harder.
- Mobile-to-mobile/Proximity infection: More stealthy!



Existing malware adopting proximity infection.
E.g., Mabir, Lansco and CPMC.

Research Question and Issues in the Literature

Question?

Can Mobile Malware via Proximity Infection Cause Storms?



Research Question and Issues in the Literature

Question?

Can Mobile Malware via Proximity Infection Cause Storms?

Answers

- Yes ([Carettoni'07, Yan'09, Wang'09]): Epidemic modeling and experiments
 - Infection storm: More and more nodes get infected as time goes.
- No ([Husted'11]): Simulations in realistic mobile scenarios.
 - Limited infection: the number of infected devices is limited with the relatively low vulnerability ratio.

Research Question and Issues in the Literature

Question?

Can Mobile Malware via Proximity Infection Cause Storms?

Answers

- Yes ([Carettoni'07, Yan'09, Wang'09]): Epidemic modeling and experiments
 - Infection storm: More and more nodes get infected as time goes.
- No ([Husted'11]): Simulations in realistic mobile scenarios.
 - Limited infection: the number of infected devices is limited with the relatively low vulnerability ratio.

Somewhat discrepant results in the literature.

Why: Node density, mobility, vulnerability ratio?

Research Question and Objective

Research Question

How to model the botnet propagation and impact in mobile networks?

Research Question and Objective

Research Question

How to model the botnet propagation and impact in mobile networks?

Objectives

- 1 Characterize how fast a mobile botnet propagates.
- 2 Investigate the denial-of-service impact of such a botnet.

1 Motivation

2 Preliminaries

- Network and Attack Models
- Problem Formulation

3 Results



Network Model

A hybrid network: infrastructure and mobile nodes.



transmission range r, mobile node density λ , network bandwidth B

How to propagate malware from one node to another?



How to propagate malware from one node to another?



1 One is infected, another is vulnerable (vulnerability ratio κ).

How to propagate malware from one node to another?



One is infected, another is vulnerable (vulnerability ratio κ).
Two nodes are in each other's transmission range (r).

How to propagate malware from one node to another?



- **1** One is infected, another is vulnerable (vulnerability ratio κ).
- **2** Two nodes are in each other's transmission range (r).
- 3 Meeting time > threshold.

Mobility Model: Generic Mobility

Realistic mobility always incurs spatial heterogeneity.



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Mobility Model: Generic Mobility

Realistic mobility always incurs spatial heterogeneity.



Problem Formulation and Performance Metric



Problem Formulation and Performance Metric



Botnet S(t): the set of all infected nodes at t.

Problem Formulation and Performance Metric



- Botnet S(t): the set of all infected nodes at t.
- Question: What is the botnet size $|\mathcal{S}(t)|$ at time t?

1 Motivation

2 Preliminaries

3 Results

- Botnet Propagation
- Mobile Botnet Impact

4 Conclusion

Theorem: Mobile Botnet Propagation

If the value of $\kappa\lambda(2\alpha + r)$ is sufficiently large, we have a botnet propagation storm: the average botnet size $\mathbb{E}|\mathcal{S}(t)| = \Theta(t^2)$.



Theorem: Mobile Botnet Propagation

If the value of $\kappa\lambda(2\alpha + r)$ is sufficiently large, we have a botnet propagation storm: the average botnet size $\mathbb{E}|\mathcal{S}(t)| = \Theta(t^2)$.



Otherwise, we have limited propagation: $\mathbb{E}|\mathcal{S}(t)| = \Theta(1)$.



Theorem: Mobile Botnet Propagation

If the value of $\kappa\lambda(2\alpha + r)$ is sufficiently large, we have a botnet propagation storm: the average botnet size $\mathbb{E}|\mathcal{S}(t)| = \Theta(t^2)$. Otherwise, we have limited propagation: $\mathbb{E}|\mathcal{S}(t)| = \Theta(1)$.

Direct Indications

Fastest rate of proximity infection: quadratic growth.
Internet botnets: exponential growth.

Theorem: Mobile Botnet Propagation

If the value of $\kappa\lambda(2\alpha + r)$ is sufficiently large, we have a botnet propagation storm: the average botnet size $\mathbb{E}|\mathcal{S}(t)| = \Theta(t^2)$. Otherwise, we have limited propagation: $\mathbb{E}|\mathcal{S}(t)| = \Theta(1)$.

Direct Indications

Fastest rate of proximity infection: quadratic growth.
Internet botnets: exponential growth.
κλ(2α + r) is the key

• density λ , mobility radius α , transmission range r.

Theorem: Mobile Botnet Propagation

If the value of $\kappa\lambda(2\alpha + r)$ is sufficiently large, we have a botnet propagation storm: the average botnet size $\mathbb{E}|\mathcal{S}(t)| = \Theta(t^2)$. Otherwise, we have limited propagation: $\mathbb{E}|\mathcal{S}(t)| = \Theta(1)$.

Direct Indications

- **I** Fastest rate of proximity infection: quadratic growth.
 - Internet botnets: exponential growth.
- 2 $\kappa \lambda (2\alpha + r)$ is the key
 - density λ , mobility radius α , transmission range r.
 - Practical scenario: density λ and transmission range r fixed
 - Sufficient mobility always triggers the $\Theta(t^2)$ infection!

Experimental Evaluation: Setups

 Mobility traces: EPFL/mobility data set: 300 cabs in San Francisco.



- Initially infected node: one cab is randomly chosen.
- Running period: 12 days.
- Wireless transmission range: Bluetooth (10m), WiFi (100m)
- Vulnerability ratio: 10% 80%

Experimental Evaluation: Results

The size of botnet with two different initially infected nodes and $\kappa=80\%.$



16 / 25

Experimental Evaluation: Results

The size of botnet with different vulnerability ratios κ and WiFi.



16 / 25

For different setups, we always observe the quadratical increase of the botnet size!

- Different vulnerability ratios
- Different transmission ranges
- Different initially infected nodes
For different setups, we always observe the quadratical increase of the botnet size!

イロト 不同下 イヨト イヨト

- Different vulnerability ratios
- Different transmission ranges
- Different initially infected nodes

Reason: Cab movements during 12 Days

- sufficient mobility in San Francisco area.
- mobility radius α is large.

Experiments with Limited Mobility

- UDelModels: a tool to generate realistic mobility traces.
- Map: 2000 nodes in 2km×2km downtown Chicago, κ =60%, r = 10m (bluetooth),
- Mobility radius α =10, 100, 500, 1000m.



Experimental Results

The botnet size with different mobility radius α .



Quadratic growth: A botnet can become larger and larger

- Launching attacks targeting a mobile service. [Traynor '09]
- Infected nodes flood service requests.

Quadratic growth: A botnet can become larger and larger

- Launching attacks targeting a mobile service. [Traynor '09]
- Infected nodes flood service requests.

Question: If a botnet starts to propagate at time 0, how long the botnet is able to launch an attack to take down a service?

Performance Metric: Last Chipper Time



The last time that a required ratio ($\sigma < 1$) of mobile service requests can still be processed on time under the botnet attack,

$$T_l = \sup\{t \ge 0 : \mathbb{P}(D_p < d) > \sigma\}.$$

Theorem: Last chipper time decreases on the order of $1/\sqrt{B}$







Increasing network bandwidth:

- improves network performance
- a botnet can propagate for a shorter time to disrupt a service.
 - less time to detect and respond the attack!



Example: LTE \rightarrow LTE Advanced (10 times bandwidth increase). Last chipper time becomes $1/\sqrt{10} \approx 1/3$ of the time in LTE.

Experimental Evaluation

Experimental setups



The Network: 2km×2km downtown Chicago, 25 APs



Experimental Evaluation

Experimental setups



Service provider: small-scale

- 7 computers over Storm framework (real-time distributed processing).
- Service quality requirement: 90% on time.
- Service timing requirement: 2 seconds.

Experimental Results

The last chipper time with different mobility radius, $\kappa = 60\%$.



Experimental Results

The last chipper time with different mobility radius, $\kappa = 60\%$.



- Last chipper time decreases on the order of $1/\sqrt{B}$
- Increasing *B* increases the risk of service being disrupted.

1 Motivation

2 Preliminaries

3 Results

4 Conclusion

< □ ト < □ ト < ■ ト < ■ ト < ■ ト ■ の Q (C 23 / 25

Conclusion

- We investigated how mobile botnets evolve via proximity infection and their impacts.
- 2 We found mobility can be a key to the size of a mobile botnet.
 - Sufficient mobility \rightarrow the size increases quadratically over time.
 - Insufficient mobility \rightarrow the size is bounded by a constant.
- 3 We defined the metric of last chipper time that offers quantitative risk assessment on potential denial-of-service impacts of botnet attacks in mobile networks.

Thank you!

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

25 / 25